## Journal of Advances in Mathematics and Computer Science



24(3): 1-10, 2017; Article no.JAMCS.35815 Previously known as British Journal of Mathematics & Computer Science ISSN: 2231-0851

# **On Riesz Sections in Sequence Spaces**

Merve Temizer  $Ersoy^{1^*}$ , Bilal Altay<sup>2</sup> and Hasan Furkan<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Mathematics, Kahramanmaraş Sütçü İmam University, Kahramanmaraş, 46100, Turkey.

<sup>2</sup>Department of Primary Education, İnönü University, Malatya, 44000, Turkey.

### Authors' contributions

This work was carried out in collaboration between all authors. All authors equally contributed into the study. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

### Article Information

DOI: 10.9734/JAMCS/2017/35815 <u>Editor(s)</u>: (1) Dijana Mosic, Department of Mathematics, University of Nis, Serbia. (1) Emrah Evren Kara, Dzce University, Turkey. (2) Bipan Hazarika, Rajiv Gandhi University, India. (3) Serkan Demiriz, Gaziosmanpaa University, Turkey. (4) Murat Kirici, Istanbul University, Turkey. Complete Peer review History: http://www.sciencedomain.org/review-history/20992

**Original Research Article** 

Received: 30<sup>th</sup> July 2017 Accepted: 8<sup>th</sup> September 2017 Published: 14<sup>th</sup> September 2017

# Abstract

The theory of FK spaces was introduced by Zeller in [1] and some properties of sectional subspaces in FK spaces were investigated by Zeller in [2]. The notion of Cesàro sections in FK spaces was studied in [3]. In [4], Buntinas examined Toeplitz sections in sequence spaces and characterized some properties. In this paper, we introduce Riesz sections in sequence spaces and examine some properties of them.

Keywords: Topological sequence space; FK spaces; AK space ; Dual spaces.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification: 46A45, 46A20, 40A05.

<sup>\*</sup>Corresponding author: E-mail: mervetemizer@hotmail.com

# 1 Introduction

1

 $\infty$ 

In recent years, constructing dual pairs of sequence spaces and investigating the properties AK, AB, SAK etc. in FK spaces was used by Boos and Leiger [5–8], Garling [9]. Also, the new technique for deducing certain topological properties, for example, AB, KB, AD properties, solidity and monotonicity etc., and determining the  $\beta$  and  $\gamma$  duals of the domain of a triangle matrix in a sequence space is presented by Altay and Başar [10].

Let  $\omega$  denote the space of all real or complex-valued sequences. It can be topologized with the seminorms  $p_i(x) = |x_i|$ , (i = 1, 2, ...), and any vector subspace of  $\omega$  is called a sequence space. A sequence space X, with a vector space topology  $\tau$  is a K space provided that the inclusion mapping  $I : (X, \tau) \to \omega$ , I(x) = x is continuous. If, in addition,  $\tau$  is complete, metrizable and locally convex then  $(X, \tau)$  is called an FK space. So an FK space is a complete, metrizable local convex topological vector space of sequences for which the coordinate functionals are continuous. An FK space whose topology is normable is called a BK space. The basic properties of such spaces can be found in [11], [12] and [13]. By m,  $c_0$  we denote the space of all bounded sequences, null sequences, respectively. These are FK space under  $||x|| = \sup_n |x_n|$ . By  $\ell$  we shall denote the space of all absolutely summable sequences. The sequences space

$$cs = \left\{ x \in \omega : \sum_{j=1}^{n} x_j \text{ convergent } \right\},$$

$$bs = \left\{ x \in \omega : \sup_n \left| \sum_{j=1}^n x_j \right| < \infty \right\},$$

$$\rho = \left\{ \alpha \in \omega : \sum_n a_{nk} \text{ convergent and } \sup_m \sum_k \left| \sum_{n=0}^m (a_{nk} - a_{nk-1}) \right| < \infty \right\}$$

in which  $A = (a_{nk}) = R.diag(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots) \cdot R^{-1} = R.diag(\alpha) \cdot R^{-1}$  and R is Riesz matrix.

$$(cs)_{R} = rs = \left\{ x \in \omega : \lim_{n} \left| \frac{1}{Q_{n}} \sum_{k=1}^{n} \sum_{j=1}^{k} q_{j} x_{j} \right| \text{ exists} \right\},$$
  

$$(bs)_{R} = rb = \left\{ x \in \omega : \sup_{n} \left| \frac{1}{Q_{n}} \sum_{k=1}^{n} \sum_{j=1}^{k} q_{j} x_{j} \right| < \infty \right\},$$
  

$$(c_{0})_{R} = r_{0} = \left\{ x \in \omega : \lim_{n} \left| \frac{1}{Q_{n}} \sum_{j=1}^{n} q_{j} x_{j} \right| = 0 \right\}$$

are FK spaces with the norms

$$\|x\|_{rb} = \sup_{n} \left| \frac{1}{Q_n} \sum_{k=1}^{n} \sum_{j=1}^{k} q_j x_j \right|$$
$$\|x\|_{ro} = \sup_{n} \frac{1}{Q_n} \left| \sum_{k=1}^{n} q_j x_j \right|$$

respectively. Throughout the paper e denote the sequence of ones,  $(1, 1, 1, \ldots, 1, \ldots)$ ;  $e^j$ ,  $(j = 1, 2, \ldots)$ , the sequence  $(0, 0, \ldots, 1, 0, \ldots)$  with the one in the *j*-th position. Let  $\phi = span\{e^k : k \in N\}$  and  $\phi_1 = \phi \cup \{e\}$ . The topological dual of X is denoted by X'. Let  $(X, \tau)$  be a K space with  $\phi \subset X$  and dual space X', and let  $x = (x_k) \in X$  be arbitrarily given. Then

$$x^{[n]} = \sum_{k=1}^{n} x_k e^k = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n, 0, \dots)$$

is called the  $n^{th}$  section of x. We define the following properties:

- x has  $AK(sectional \ convergence)$  if  $x^{[n]} \to x$  in  $(X, \tau)$ .
- $x \text{ has } SAK(weak \ \ sectional \ \ convergence) \ \text{if} \ x^{[n]} \to x \ \text{in} \ (X, \sigma(X, X^{'})).$
- x has  $FAK(functional \ sectional \ convergence)$  if  $\sum_k x_k f(e^k)$  converges for all  $f \in X'$ . x has  $AB(sectional \ boundedness)$  if  $\{x^{[n]} : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$  is bounded in  $(X, \tau)$ .

The  $n^{th}$  Cesàro sections of a sequence  $x = (x_k) \in X$  is given by

$$\sigma^n x = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^k x_j e^j.$$

We say that x has the property:  $\sigma K$  if  $\|\sigma^n x - x\|_X \to 0, (n \to \infty),$  $S\sigma K$  if  $((|f(\sigma^n x) - f(x)|)_n) \in c_0, \forall f \in X',$  $F\sigma K$  if  $(f(\sigma^{n}x)_{n}) \in c, \forall f \in X',$  $\sigma B$  if  $(f(\sigma^n x)_n) \in \ell_{\infty}, \forall f \in X', [3].$ 

Now we are constructing a new definition:

$$r^{[n]}x = \frac{1}{Q_n} \sum_{k=1}^n q_k x_k e^k$$

is called the  $n^{th}$  Riesz section of x. This here r is the set  $\{r^n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ . In addition, an FK space is said to have rK space if  $X \supset \phi$  and for each  $x \in X$ ,

$$\frac{1}{Q_n} \sum_{k=1}^n q_k x^{(k)} \to x \quad , n \to \infty.$$

Every AK space is a rK space. For example  $\omega$ ,  $c_0$  are both AK spaces and rK space [3], [14]. The transformation given by  $q_n = \frac{q_1 s_1 + \dots + q_n s_n}{Q_n}$  is called the Riesz mean  $(R, q_n)$  or simply the  $(R, q_n)$  mean, where  $(q_k)$  is a sequence of positive numbers and  $Q_n = q_1 + \dots + q_n$ , [15].

In this paper, let  $X = (x_{nk})$  be a matrix;

$$(x_{nk}) = \begin{cases} \frac{k \cdot (q_k - q_{k+1})}{Q_n} & , & k < n \\ \frac{k \cdot q_k}{Q_k} & , & k = n \\ 0 & , & k \ge n \end{cases}$$

and we suppose that  $\sum_{n} x_{nk}$  convergent and

$$\sup_{m}\sum_{k}\left|\sum_{n=0}^{m}(x_{nk}-x_{nk-1})\right|<\infty.$$

In line with this information rs containing  $\sigma s$  can be seen. In other words if  $x \in \sigma s$  then  $x \in rs$ . Then

$$X^{f} = \left\{ \{f(\delta^{k})\} : f \in X' \right\}.$$

In addition

$$\begin{split} X^{Y} &= \left\{ x : yx = (y_{k}x_{k}) \in Y \text{ for every } y \in X \right\} = (X \to Y), \\ X^{\beta} &= \left\{ x : yx = (y_{k}x_{k}) \in cs \text{ for every } y \in X \right\} \\ &= \left\{ x : \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} x_{k}y_{k} \text{ exists for every } y \in X \right\}, \\ X^{T} &= \left\{ x : yx = (y_{k}x_{k}) \in rs \text{ for every } y \in X \right\} \\ &= \left\{ x : \lim_{n} \frac{1}{Q_{n}} \sum_{k=1}^{n} \sum_{j=1}^{k} q_{j}x_{j}y_{j} \text{ exists for every } y \in X \right\}, \\ X^{rb} &= \left\{ x : \sup_{n} \frac{1}{Q_{n}} \left| \sum_{k=1}^{n} \sum_{j=1}^{k} q_{j}x_{j}y_{j} \right| < \infty \text{ for every } y \in X \right\}. \end{split}$$

Note that  $\ell_{\infty}^{\beta} = c_0^{\beta} = c^{\beta} = \ell, \ell^{\beta} = \ell_{\infty}, cs^{\beta} = bv, bv^{\beta} = cs, [16].$ For example, it is claim  $(rs)^r = \rho$ :

$$(rs)^{r} = ((cs)_{R})^{r}$$

$$= \{\alpha \in \omega : (\alpha x) \in (cs)_{R}, \forall x \in (cs)_{R}\}$$

$$= \{\alpha \in \omega : A = R.diag(\alpha).R^{-1} \in (cs, cs)\}$$

$$= \{\alpha \in \omega : A \in (cs, cs)\}$$

$$= \{\alpha \in \omega : \sum_{n} a_{nk} \text{ convergent and } \sup_{m} \sum_{k} \left|\sum_{n=0}^{m} (a_{nk} - a_{nk-1})\right| < \infty\}$$

$$= \rho$$

Let  $X, X_1$  be sets of sequences. Then for  $k = f, \beta, r, rb$ (a)  $X \subset X^{kk}$ , (b)  $X^{kkk} = X^k$ , (c) if  $X \subset X_1$  then  $X_1^k \subset X^k$  holds.

**Theorem 1.1.** Let X be an FK space containing  $\phi$  and  $\lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{n}{Q_n} = 1$ . Then  $(1)X^{\beta} \subset X^r \subset X^{rb} \subset X^f$ , (2) If X is rK space then  $X^f = X^r$ , (3) If X is an AD space then  $X^r = X^{rb}$ .

*Proof.* (2) Let  $u \in X^r$  and define

$$f(x) = \lim_{n} \frac{1}{Q_n} \sum_{k=1}^{n} \sum_{j=1}^{k} q_j x_j u_j$$

for  $x \in X$ . Then  $f \in X'$ ; by the Banach-Steinhaus [11, Theorem 1.0.4]. Also  $f(e^p) = \lim_n \frac{1}{Q_n}(n - (p-1))q_pu_p = u_p, (p < n)$  so  $u \in X^f$ . Thus  $X^r \subset X^f$ . Now we show that  $X^f \subset X^r$ . Let  $u \in X^f$ . Since X is rK space

$$f(x) = \lim_{n} \frac{1}{Q_n} \sum_{k=1}^{n} \sum_{j=1}^{k} q_j x_j f(e^j) = \lim_{n} \frac{1}{Q_n} \sum_{k=1}^{n} \sum_{j=1}^{k} q_j x_j u_j,$$

for  $x \in X$ , then  $u \in X^r$ . Hence  $X^f = X^r$ . (3) Let  $u \in X^{rb}$  and define  $f_n(x) = \frac{1}{Q_n} \sum_{k=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^k q_j x_j u_j$  for  $x \in X$ . Then  $\{f_n\}$  is pointwise bounded, hence equicontinuous by [11, Theorem 7.0.2]. Since  $\lim_n f(e^p) = u_p$  (p < n) then  $\phi \subset Q_n$  $\{x: lim_n f_n(x) exists\}$ . Hence  $\{x: lim_n f_n(x) exists\}$  is closed subspace of X by the Convergence Lemma, [11, Theorem 1.0.5, 7.0.3]. Since X is an AD space then  $X = \{x : lim_n f_n(x) | exists\} = \overline{\phi}$ and then  $\lim_n f_n(x)$  exists for all  $x \in X$ . Thus  $u \in X^r$ . The opposite inclusion is trivial. (1)  $\bar{\phi} \subset X$  by the hypothesis. Since  $\bar{\phi}$  is rK space, then  $X^{rb} \subset (\bar{\phi})^{rb} = (\bar{\phi})^r = (\bar{\phi})^f = X^f$  by (2), (3) and [11, Theorem 7.2.4]. 

#### 2 Main Results

In this section, we give the main results of this paper. We construct new important subspaces of a locally convex FK space X containing  $\phi$ . Then we show that there is relation among these subspaces.

**Definition 2.1.** Let X be an FK space containing  $\phi$ . Then following definitions hold.  $W = W(X) = \{x \in X : x^{(k)} \to x \text{ (weakly) in } X\}, [17],$ 

$$RS = RS(X)$$
  
= { $x \in X : \frac{1}{Q_n} \sum_{k=1}^n q_k x^{(k)} \to x \text{ in } X$ }  
= { $x \in X : \lim_n \frac{1}{Q_n} \sum_{k=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^k q_j x_j e^j = x$ }  
= { $x \in X : x \text{ has } rK \text{ in } X$ },

$$\begin{aligned} RW &= RW(X) \\ &= \{x \in X : \frac{1}{Q_n} \sum_{k=1}^n q_k x^{(k)} \to x \text{ (weakly) in } X\} \\ &= \{x \in X : \lim_n \frac{1}{Q_n} \sum_{k=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^k q_j x_j f(e^j) = f(x) \text{ for all } f \in X'\} \\ &= \{x \in X : x \text{ has } SrK \text{ in } X\}, \end{aligned}$$

$$RF^{+} = RF^{+}(X) = rF^{+}(X)$$

$$= \{x \in X : \left(\frac{1}{Q_{n}}\sum_{k=1}^{n}q_{k}x^{(k)}\right) \text{ is weakly Cauchy in } X\}$$

$$= \{x \in X : \{x_{n}f(e^{n})\} \in rs \text{ for all } f \in X'\}$$

$$= \{x \in X : x \text{ has } FrK \text{ in } X\},$$

$$RB^{+} = RB^{+}(X) = rB^{+}(X)$$

$$= \{x \in X : \left(\frac{1}{Q_{n}}\sum_{k=1}^{n}q_{k}x^{(k)}\right) \text{ is bounded in } X\}$$

$$= \{x \in X : \{x_{n}f(e^{n})\} \in rb \text{ for all } f \in X'\}$$

$$= \{x \in X : x \text{ has } rB \text{ in } X\}.$$

Also,  $RF = RF^+(X) \cap X$  and  $RB = RB^+(X) \cap X$ .

**Definition 2.2.** Sequence sets of above definitions show that:

- 1.  $X_{rK} = RS = RS(X) = \{x \in X : x \text{ has } rK\} \subset X$
- 2.  $X_{SrK} = RW = RW(X) = \{x \in X : x \text{ has } SrK\} \subset X$
- 3.  $X_{FrK} = RF = RF(X) = \{x \in X : x \text{ has } FrK\} \subset X$
- 4.  $X_{rB} = RB = RB(X) = \{x \in X : x \text{ has } rB\} \subset X$

**Corollary 2.1.** By definition 2.1 we obtain from following results:

- 1. X has FrK iff  $X \subset RF$  , i.e., X = RF,
- 2. X has rB iff  $X \subset RB$  , i.e., X = RB.

We introduce some inclusions which are similar to given in [11]. Then we shall study above properties in accordance with previous investigations on related sectional properties such as [8,11,13].

**Theorem 2.2.** Let X be an FK space containing  $\phi$ . Then

$$\phi \subset RS \subset RW \subset RF \subset RB \subset X$$

and

$$\phi \subset RS \subset RW \subset \bar{\phi}.$$

#### Proof.

First conclusion is obvious by Definition 2.1. We show that the inclusion  $RW \subset \overline{\phi}$ . Let  $f \in X'$  and f = 0 on  $\phi$ . The definition of RW shows that f = 0 on RW. Thus, the Hanh-Banach theorem gives the result.

**Theorem 2.3.** The subspaces E = RS, RW, RF, RB,  $RF^+$  and  $RB^+$  of X, FK space are monotone, *i. e., if*  $X \subset Y$  then  $E(X) \subset E(Y)$ .

Proof.

Let E = RS,  $X \subset Y$  and  $x \in RS(X)$ . Then by

$$\lim_{n} \frac{1}{Q_n} \sum_{k=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^k q_j x_j e^j = x \in X$$

and  $X \subset Y$ , we give that

$$\lim_{n} \frac{1}{Q_n} \sum_{k=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^k q_j x_j e^j = x \in Y$$

Hence  $RS(X) \subset RS(Y)$  conclusion is ensure. The others can be proved in a similar way.

**Theorem 2.4.** Let X be an FK space containing  $\phi$ . Then  $RB^+ = (X^f)^{rb}$ .

#### Proof.

By Definition 2.1,  $z \in RB^+$  if and only if  $zu \in rb$  for each  $u \in X^f$ . Hence  $RB^+ \subset (X^f)^{rb}$  holds. The converse inclusion is trivial. This is precisely the claim.

**Theorem 2.5.** Let X be an FK space containing  $\phi$ . Then  $RB^+$  is the same for all FK spaces Y between  $\overline{\phi}$  and X; i. e.  $\overline{\phi} \subset Y \subset X$  implies  $RB^+(Y) = RB^+(X)$ . Here the closure of  $\phi$  is calculated in X.

#### Proof.

By Theorem 2.3, we have  $RB^+(\bar{\phi}) \subset RB^+(Y) \subset RB^+(X)$ . By Theorem 2.4, the first and last are equal.

**Theorem 2.6.** Let X be an FK space such that  $RB \supset \overline{\phi}$ . Then  $\overline{\phi}$  has rK and  $RS = RW = \overline{\phi}$ .

#### Proof.

By Theorem 2.2,  $\phi \subset RS \subset RW \subset \bar{\phi} \subset RB$ . Firstly, suppose that X has RB. Define  $f_n : X \to X$  by  $x \to f_n(x) = x - \frac{1}{Q_n} \sum_{k=1}^n q_k x^{(k)}$ . Then  $\{f_n\}$  is pointwise bounded, hence equicontinuous by [11]. Since  $f_n \to 0$  on  $\phi$  then also  $f_n \to 0$  on  $\bar{\phi}$  by [11]. As a result, the proof is complete.

**Theorem 2.7.** Let X be an FK space containing  $\phi$ . Then  $RF^+ = (X^f)^r$ .

#### Proof.

This can be proved as in Theorem 2.4, with rs instead of rb.

**Theorem 2.8.** Let X be an FK space containing  $\phi$ . Then  $RF^+$  is the same for all FK spaces Y between  $\overline{\phi}$  and X; i. e.  $\overline{\phi} \subset Y \subset X$  implies  $RF^+(Y) = RF^+(X)$ . Here the closure of  $\phi$  is calculated in X.

#### Proof.

The proof is similar to that of Theorem 2.5.

**Theorem 2.9.** Let X be an FK space in which  $\overline{\phi}$  has rK. Then  $RF^+ = (\overline{\phi})^{rr}$ .

#### Proof.

It is obvious that  $RF^+ = (X^f)^r$  by Theorem 2.7. Since  $X^f = (\bar{\phi})^f$  by [11], we have  $(X^f)^r = (\bar{\phi})^{fr}$ . Thus by Theorem 1.1 the result follows.

**Theorem 2.10.** Let X be an FK space containing  $\phi$ . Then X has FrK if and only if  $\overline{\phi}$  has rK and  $X \subset (\overline{\phi})^{rr}$ .

### Proof.

Necessity. X has rB since  $RF \subset RB$ , so  $\bar{\phi}$  has rK. If  $\bar{\phi}$  has rK then  $X \subset RF^+ = (\bar{\phi})^{rr}$ . Hence  $X \subset (\bar{\phi})^{rr}$ . Sufficiency is given by Theorem 2.9.

**Theorem 2.11.** Let X be an FK space containing  $\phi$ . The following statements are equivalent: (1) X has FrK (or RF),

(2)  $X \subset (RS)^{rr}$ , (3)  $X \subset (RW)^{rr}$ , (4)  $X = (RF)^{rr}$ , (5)  $X^r = (RS)^r$ , (6)  $X^r = (RF)^r$ .

Proof.

Since  $RS \subset RW \subset RF \subset X$ , it is trivial that  $(2) \Rightarrow (3)$  and  $(3) \Rightarrow (4)$ . If (4) is true, then

$$X^f \subset (RF)^r = (X^{fr})^r \subset X^r$$

so (1) is true by Theorem 1.1. If (1) holds, then Theorem 2.10 implies that  $\bar{\phi} = RS$  which means (2) holds. The equivalence of (5),(6) with others is clear.

**Theorem 2.12.** Let X be an FK space containing  $\phi$ . The following are equivalent:

(1) X has SrK, (2) X has rK, (3)  $X^{r} = X'$ .

#### Proof.

By Theorem 2.2, it is clear (2) implies (1). Conversely if X has SrK it must have AD from  $RW \subset \overline{\phi}$  by Theorem 2.2. It also has rB since  $RW \subset RB$ . Thus X has rK by Theorem 2.6, this proves that (1) and (2) are equivalent. Assume that (3) holds. Let  $f \in X'$ , then there exists  $u \in X^r$  such that

$$f(x) = \lim_{n} \frac{1}{Q_n} \sum_{k=1}^{n} \sum_{j=1}^{k} u_j x_j q_j$$

for  $x \in X$ . Since  $u_j = f(e^j)$ , it follows that each  $x \in RW$  which shows that (3) implies (1). Let X has rK, then by Theorem 2.2 it has SrK. So, by Definition 2.1, for all  $f \in X'$  there is

$$f(x) = \lim_{n} \frac{1}{Q_n} \sum_{k=1}^{n} \sum_{j=1}^{k} u_j x_j q_j$$

such that  $u \in X^r$  which is  $u_j = f(e^j), (\forall x \in X)$ . This shows that (2) implies (3).

**Theorem 2.13.** Let X be an FK space containing  $\phi$ . The following are equivalent: (1) RW is closed in X,

(1)  $\bar{\phi} \subset RB,$ (2)  $\bar{\phi} \subset RB,$ (3)  $\bar{\phi} \subset RF,$ (4)  $\bar{\phi} = RW,$ (5)  $\bar{\phi} = RS,$ 

(6) RS is closed in X.

#### Proof.

(2)  $\Rightarrow$  (5): By Theorem 2.6,  $\bar{\phi}$  has rK, i.e.,  $\bar{\phi} \subset RS$ . The opposite inclusion is Theorem 2.2. Note that (5) implies (4), (5) implies (3) and (3) implies (2) because of Theorem 2.2;

 $RS \subset RW \subset \overline{\phi}, RW \subset RF \subset RB;$ 

 $(1) \Rightarrow (4) \text{ and } (6) \Rightarrow (5) \text{ since } \phi \subset RS \subset RW \subset \overline{\phi}.$  Finally  $(4) \Rightarrow (1) \text{ and } (5) \Rightarrow (6).$ 

**Theorem 2.14.** Let X be an FK space containing  $\phi$ . Then X has rB property if and only if  $X^f \subset X^{rb}$ .

### Proof.

Necessity Let X be rB property. Then  $X \subset RB^+ = (X^f)^{rb}$  and  $X^{rb} \supset (X^f)^{rbrb} \supset X^f$ . Sufficiency is clear.

**Theorem 2.15.** Let X be an FK space containing  $\phi$ . Then X has  $rF^+$  property if and only if  $X^f \subset X^r$ .

### Proof.

The proof is similar to that of Theorem 2.14.

# 3 Conclusion

In this study, we determined a new r- and rb- type duality of a sequence space X containing  $\phi$ . Moreover, we developed some new subspaces which are the importance of each one on topological sequence spaces theory. We study the subspaces  $RS, RW, RF^+$  and  $RB^+$  for a locally convex FKspace X containing  $\phi$ , the space of finite sequences. Then, we showed that there is relation among these subspaces. Furthermore, we examined monotone of the distinguished subspaces. Finally, we proved some theorems related to the f-, r- and rb- duality of a sequence spaces X.

# Acknowledgement

The authors would like to thank the reviewers for pointing out some mistakes and misprints in the earlier version of this paper. So, They would like to express their pleasure to the reviewers for their careful reading and making some useful comments which improved the presentation of the paper. M. Temizer Ersoy has been supported by the Scientific and Technological Research Council of Turkey (TUBITAK Programme, 2228-B).

## **Competing Interests**

Authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

# References

- [1] Zeller K. Allgemeine eigenschaften von limitierungsverfahren. Math. Z. 1951;(53):463-487.
- [2] Zeller K. Abschnittskonvergenz in FK- Raumen. Math. Z. 1951;(55):55-70.
- [3] Buntinas M. Convergent and bounded Cesaro sections in FK space. Math. Z. 1971;(121):191-200.
- Buntinas M. On toeplitz sections in sequence spaces. Math. Proc. Camb. Phil. Soc. 1975;(78):451-460.
- [5] Boos J, Leiger T. Dual pairs of sequence spaces III. J. Math. Anal. Appl. 2006;324:1213-1227.
- [6] Boos J, Leiger T. Dual pairs of sequence spaces II. Proc. Estonian Acad. Sci. Phys. Math. 2002;51(1):3-17.
- [7] Boos J, Leiger T. Dual pairs of sequence spaces. Hindawi Publising Corp. 2001;28(1):9-23.
- [8] Boos J. Classical and modern methods in summability. Oxford University Press. New York. Oxford; 2000.
- [9] Garling DJH. On topological sequence spaces. Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc. 1967;(63):997-1019.
- [10] Altay B, Başar F. Certain topological properties and duals of the matrix domain of a triangle matrix in a sequence space. J. Math. Anal. Appl. 2007;336(1):632-645.
- [11] Wilansky A. Summability trough functional analysis. North Holland; 1984.
- [12] Wilansky A. Funtionel analysis. Blaisdell Press; 1964.
- [13] Zeller K. Theorie der Limitierungsverfahren. Berlin-Heidelberg New York; 1958.
- [14] Goes G, Goes S. Sequence of bounded variations and sequences of Fourier coefficients I. Math. Z. 1970;(118):93-102.

- [15] Candan M, Kılınç G. A Different look for paranormed riesz sequence space derived by fibonacci matrix. Konuralp J. Math. 2015;(3):62-76.
- [16] Mursaleen M. Applied summability methods. Springer; 2013.
- [17] Goes G. Summen von FK-rumen funktionale abschnittskonvergenz und umkehrsatz. Tohoku. Math. J. 1974;(26):487-504.

C 2017 Ersoy et al.; This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

#### $Peer\text{-}review\ history:$

The peer review history for this paper can be accessed here (Please copy paste the total link in your browser address bar) http://sciencedomain.org/review-history/20992