

24(3): 1-10, 2017; Article no.JAMCS.35815

Previously known as British Journal of Mathematics & *Computer Science ISSN: 2231-0851*

On Riesz Sections in Sequence Spaces

Merve Temizer Ersoy¹ *∗* **, Bilal Altay**² **and Hasan Furkan**¹

¹ Department of Mathematics, Kahramanmaraş Sütçü İmam University, Kahramanmaraş, 46100, *Turkey.*

²*Department of Primary Education, ˙In¨on¨u University, Malatya, 44000, Turkey.*

Authors' contributions

This work was carried out in collaboration between all authors. All authors equally contributed into the study. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Article Information

DOI: 10.9734/JAMCS/2017/35815 *Editor(s):* (1) Dijana Mosic, Department of Mathematics, University of Nis, Serbia. *Reviewers:* (1) Emrah Evren Kara, Dzce University, Turkey. (2) Bipan Hazarika, Rajiv Gandhi University, India. (3) Serkan Demiriz, Gaziosmanpaa University, Turkey. (4) Murat Kirici, Istanbul University, Turkey. Complete Peer review History: http://www.sciencedomain.org/review-history/20992

> *[Received: 30](http://www.sciencedomain.org/review-history/20992)th July 2017 Accepted: 8th September 2017*

Original Research Article Published: 14th September 2017

Abstract

The theory of *FK* spaces was introduced by Zeller in [1] and some properties of sectional subspaces in FK spaces were investigated by Zeller in [2]. The notion of Cesaro sections in FK spaces was studied in [3]. In [4], Buntinas examined Toeplitz sections in sequence spaces and characterized some properties. In this paper, we introduce Riesz sections in sequence spaces and examine some properties of them.

Keywords: Topological s[eq](#page-8-0)uence [sp](#page-8-1)ace; FK spaces; AK space ; Dual spaces.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification: 46A45, 46A20, 40A05.

^{}Corresponding author: E-mail: mervetemizer@hotmail.com*

1 Introduction

In recent years, constructing dual pairs of sequence spaces and investigating the properties *AK, AB, SAK* etc. in *FK* spaces was used by Boos and Leiger [5–8], Garling [9]. Also, the new technique for deducing certain topological properties, for example, *AB*, *KB*, *AD* properties, solidity and monotonicity etc., and determining the β and γ duals of the domain of a triangle matrix in a sequence space is presented by Altay and Başar [10].

Let ω denote the space of all real or complex–valued s[eq](#page-8-2)[u](#page-8-3)ences. It [ca](#page-8-4)n be topologized with the seminorms $p_i(x) = |x_i|$, $(i = 1, 2, \ldots)$, and any vector subspace of ω is called a sequence space. A sequence space *X*, with a vector space topology τ is a *K* space provided that the inclusion mapping *I* : $(X, \tau) \to \omega$, $I(x) = x$ is continuous. If, i[n a](#page-8-5)ddition, τ is complete, metrizable and locally convex then (X, τ) is called an *FK* space. So an *FK* space is a complete, metrizable local convex topological vector space of sequences for which the coordinate functionals are continuous. An *FK* space whose topology is normable is called a *BK* space. The basic properties of such spaces can be found in [11], [12] and [13]. By *m, c*⁰ we denote the space of all bounded sequences, null sequences, respectively. These are *FK* space under $||x|| = \sup_n |x_n|$. By ℓ we shall denote the space of all absolutely summable sequences. The sequences space

$$
cs = \left\{ x \in \omega : \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} x_j \text{ convergent } \right\},
$$

\n
$$
bs = \left\{ x \in \omega : \sup_n \left| \sum_{j=1}^n x_j \right| < \infty \right\},
$$

\n
$$
\rho = \left\{ \alpha \in \omega : \sum_n a_{nk} \text{ convergent and } \sup_m \sum_k \left| \sum_{n=0}^m (a_{nk} - a_{nk-1}) \right| < \infty \right\}
$$

in which $A = (a_{nk}) = R \cdot diag(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots)$ *.* $R^{-1} = R \cdot diag(\alpha)$ *.* R^{-1} and *R* is Riesz matrix.

$$
(cs)_R = rs = \left\{ x \in \omega : \lim_n \left| \frac{1}{Q_n} \sum_{k=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^k q_j x_j \right| \text{ exists} \right\},
$$

$$
(bs)_R = rb = \left\{ x \in \omega : \sup_n \left| \frac{1}{Q_n} \sum_{k=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^k q_j x_j \right| < \infty \right\},
$$

$$
(c_0)_R = r_0 = \left\{ x \in \omega : \lim_n \left| \frac{1}{Q_n} \sum_{j=1}^n q_j x_j \right| = 0 \right\}
$$

are *FK* spaces with the norms

$$
||x||_{rb} = \sup_{n} \left| \frac{1}{Q_n} \sum_{k=1}^{n} \sum_{j=1}^{k} q_j x_j \right|,
$$

$$
||x||_{ro} = \sup_{n} \frac{1}{Q_n} \left| \sum_{k=1}^{n} q_j x_j \right|
$$

respectively. Throughout the paper *e* denote the sequence of ones, $(1, 1, 1, \ldots, 1, \ldots)$; e^{j} , $(j = 1, 1, 1, \ldots, j)$ 1, 2,...), the sequence $(0, 0, \ldots, 1, 0, \ldots)$ with the one in the *j*-th position. Let $\phi = span\{e^k :$ $k \in N$ } and $\phi_1 = \phi \cup \{e\}$. The topological dual of *X* is denoted by *X*[']. Let (X, τ) be a *K* space with $\phi \subset X$ and dual space X' , and let $x = (x_k) \in X$ be arbitrarily given. Then

$$
x^{[n]} = \sum_{k=1}^{n} x_k e^k = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n, 0, \dots)
$$

,

is called the n^{th} section of x . We define the following properties: *x* has $AK(sectional convergence)$ if $x^{[n]} \to x$ in (X, τ) . *x* has $SAK(weak \; sectional \; convergence)$ if $x^{[n]} \to x$ in $(X, \sigma(X, X'))$. *x* has $FAK(functional \quad sectional \quad conventional \quad convergence)$ if $\sum_{k} x_k f(e^k)$ converges for all $f \in X$. *x* has *AB*(*sectional boundedness*) if $\{x^{[n]} : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ is bounded in (X, τ) . The n^{th} Cesàro sections of a sequence $x = (x_k) \in X$ is given by

$$
\sigma^n x = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^k x_j e^j.
$$

We say that *x* has the property: σK if $\|\sigma^n x - x\|_X \to 0, (n \to \infty)$, *SσK* if $((|f(\sigma^n x) - f(x)|)_n) \in c_0, \forall f \in X'$, *F* σK if $(f(\sigma^n x)_n) \in c, \forall f \in X'$, σB if $(f(\sigma^n x)_n) \in \ell_\infty, \forall f \in X'$, [3].

Now we are constructing a new definition:

$$
r^{[n]}x = \frac{1}{Q_n} \sum_{k=1}^n q_k x_k e^k
$$

is called the n^{th} Riesz section of *x*. This here *r* is the set $\{r^n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}\.$ In addition, an *FK* space is said to have rK space if $X \supset \phi$ and for each $x \in X$,

$$
\frac{1}{Q_n} \sum_{k=1}^n q_k x^{(k)} \to x \quad, n \to \infty.
$$

Every *AK* space is a rK space. For example ω , c_0 are both *AK* spaces and rK space [3], [14]. The transformation given by $q_n = \frac{q_1 s_1 + \dots + q_n s_n}{Q_n}$ is called the Riesz mean (R, q_n) or simply the (R, q_n) mean, where (q_k) is a sequence of positive numbers and $Q_n = q_1 + \cdots + q_n$, [15].

In this paper, let $X = (x_{nk})$ be a matrix;

$$
(x_{nk}) = \begin{cases} \frac{k.(q_k - q_{k+1})}{Q_n} & , k < n \\ \frac{k \cdot q_k}{Q_k} & , k = n \\ 0 & , k \ge n \end{cases}
$$

and we suppose that $\sum_{n} x_{nk}$ convergent and

$$
\sup_{m} \sum_{k} \left| \sum_{n=0}^{m} (x_{nk} - x_{nk-1}) \right| < \infty.
$$

In line with this information *rs* containing σs can be seen. In other words if $x \in \sigma s$ then $x \in rs$. Then

$$
X^f = \left\{ \{ f(\delta^k) \} : f \in X' \right\}.
$$

3

In addition

$$
X^{Y} = \{x : yx = (y_{k}x_{k}) \in Y \text{ for every } y \in X\} = (X \to Y),
$$

\n
$$
X^{\beta} = \{x : yx = (y_{k}x_{k}) \in cs \text{ for every } y \in X\}
$$

\n
$$
= \left\{x : \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} x_{k}y_{k} \text{ exists for every } y \in X\right\},
$$

\n
$$
X^{r} = \{x : yx = (y_{k}x_{k}) \in rs \text{ for every } y \in X\}
$$

\n
$$
= \left\{x : \lim_{n} \frac{1}{Q_{n}} \sum_{k=1}^{n} \sum_{j=1}^{k} q_{j}x_{j}y_{j} \text{ exists for every } y \in X\right\},
$$

\n
$$
X^{r} = \left\{x : \sup_{n} \frac{1}{Q_{n}} \left| \sum_{k=1}^{n} \sum_{j=1}^{k} q_{j}x_{j}y_{j} \right| < \infty \text{ for every } y \in X\right\}.
$$

Note that $\ell_{\infty}^{\beta} = c_0^{\beta} = \ell_{\beta} \ell^{\beta} = \ell_{\infty}, \text{cos}^{\beta} = \text{bv}, \text{bv}^{\beta} = \text{cs}, [16].$

For example, it is claim $(rs)^r = \rho$:

$$
(rs)^{r} = ((cs)_{R})^{r}
$$

\n
$$
= \{\alpha \in \omega : (\alpha x) \in (cs)_{R}, \forall x \in (cs)_{R}\}
$$

\n
$$
= \{\alpha \in \omega : A = R \cdot diag(\alpha) \cdot R^{-1} \in (cs, cs)\}
$$

\n
$$
= \{\alpha \in \omega : A \in (cs, cs)\}
$$

\n
$$
= \{\alpha \in \omega : \sum_{n} a_{nk} \text{ convergent and } \sup_{m} \sum_{k} \left| \sum_{n=0}^{m} (a_{nk} - a_{nk-1}) \right| < \infty\}
$$

\n
$$
= \rho
$$

Let X, X_1 be sets of sequences. Then for $k = f, \beta, r, rb$ (a) $X \subset X^{kk}$, (b) $X^{kkk} = X^k$, (c) if $X \subset X_1$ then $X_1^k \subset X^k$ holds.

Theorem 1.1. *Let X be an FK space containing* ϕ *and* $\lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{n}{Q_n} = 1$ *. Then (1)X*^{*β*} \subset *X^r* \subset *X^{rb}* \subset *X^f*, *(2)If X is* rK *space then* $X^f = X^r$, *(3)If X is an AD space then* $X^r = X^{rb}$ *.*

Proof. (2) Let $u \in X^r$ and define

$$
f(x) = \lim_{n} \frac{1}{Q_n} \sum_{k=1}^{n} \sum_{j=1}^{k} q_j x_j u_j
$$

for $x \in X$. Then $f \in X'$; by the Banach-Steinhaus [11, Theorem 1.0.4]. Also $f(e^p) = \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{Q_n}(n (p-1))q_pu_p = u_p, (p < n)$ so $u \in X^f$. Thus $X^r \subset X^f$. Now we show that $X^f \subset X^r$. Let $u \in X^f$. Since *X* is *rK* space

$$
f(x) = \lim_{n} \frac{1}{Q_n} \sum_{k=1}^{n} \sum_{j=1}^{k} q_j x_j f(e^j) = \lim_{n} \frac{1}{Q_n} \sum_{k=1}^{n} \sum_{j=1}^{k} q_j x_j u_j,
$$

4

for $x \in X$, then $u \in X^r$. Hence $X^f = X^r$.

(3) Let $u \in X^{rb}$ and define $f_n(x) = \frac{1}{Q_n} \sum_{k=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^k q_j x_j u_j$ for $x \in X$. Then $\{f_n\}$ is pointwise bounded, hence equicontinuous by [11, Theorem 7.0.2]. Since $\lim_{n} f(e^p) = u_p$ ($p < n$) then $\phi \subset$ $\{x: \lim_{n} f_n(x) \text{ exists}\}.$ Hence $\{x: \lim_{n} f_n(x) \text{ exists}\}$ is closed subspace of X by the Convergence Lemma, [11, Theorem 1.0.5,7.0.3]. Since *X* is an *AD* space then $X = \{x : \lim_{n} f_n(x) \text{ exists}\} = \overline{\phi}$ and then $\lim_{n} f_n(x)$ exists for all $x \in X$. Thus $u \in X^r$. The opposite inclusion is trivial. (1) $\bar{\phi} \subset X$ by the hypothesis. Since $\bar{\phi}$ is *rK* space, then $X^{rb} \subset (\bar{\phi})^{rb} = (\bar{\phi})^r = (\bar{\phi})^f = X^f$ by (2), (3) and [11, Theorem 7.2.4]. \Box

2 Main Results

In this s[ect](#page-8-6)ion, we give the main results of this paper. We construct new important subspaces of a locally convex FK space X containing ϕ . Then we show that there is relation among these subspaces.

Definition 2.1. Let *X* be an FK space containing ϕ . Then following definitions hold. $W = W(X) = \{x \in X : x^{(k)} \to x \text{ (weakly) in } X\},$ [17],

$$
RS = RS(X)
$$

= $\{x \in X : \frac{1}{Q_n} \sum_{k=1}^n q_k x^{(k)} \to x \text{ in } X\}$
= $\{x \in X : \lim_{n} \frac{1}{Q_n} \sum_{k=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^k q_j x_j e^j = x \}$
= $\{x \in X : x \text{ has } rK \text{ in } X\},$

$$
RW = RW(X)
$$

\n
$$
= \{x \in X : \frac{1}{Q_n} \sum_{k=1}^n q_k x^{(k)} \to x \text{ (weakly) in } X\}
$$

\n
$$
= \{x \in X : \lim_{n} \frac{1}{Q_n} \sum_{k=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^k q_j x_j f(e^j) = f(x) \text{ for all } f \in X'\}
$$

\n
$$
= \{x \in X : x \text{ has } SrK \text{ in } X\},
$$

$$
RF^{+} = RF^{+}(X) = rF^{+}(X)
$$

=
$$
\{x \in X : \left(\frac{1}{Q_n} \sum_{k=1}^{n} q_k x^{(k)}\right) \text{ is weakly Cauchy in } X\}
$$

=
$$
\{x \in X : \{x_n f(e^n)\} \in rs \text{ for all } f \in X'\}
$$

=
$$
\{x \in X : x \text{ has } FrK \text{ in } X\},
$$

$$
RB^{+} = RB^{+}(X) = rB^{+}(X)
$$

=
$$
\{x \in X : \left(\frac{1}{Q_{n}} \sum_{k=1}^{n} q_{k} x^{(k)}\right) \text{ is bounded in } X\}
$$

=
$$
\{x \in X : \{x_{n} f(e^{n})\} \in rb \text{ for all } f \in X'\}
$$

=
$$
\{x \in X : x \text{ has } rB \text{ in } X\}.
$$

Also, $RF = RF^+(X) \cap X$ and $RB = RB^+(X) \cap X$.

Definition 2.2. Sequence sets of above definitions show that:

- 1. $X_{rK} = RS = RS(X) = \{x \in X : x \text{ has } rK\} \subset X$
- 2. $X_{S r K} = R W = R W(X) = \{x \in X : x \text{ has } S r K\} \subset X$
- 3. $X_{FrK} = RF = RF(X) = \{x \in X : x \text{ has } FrK\} \subset X$
- 4. $X_{rB} = RB = RB(X) = \{x \in X : x \text{ has } rB\} \subset X$

Corollary 2.1. *By definition 2.1 we obtain from following results:*

- *1. X has* FrK *iff* $X \subset RF$ *,i.e.,* $X = RF$,
- *2. X has rB iff X ⊂ RB ,i.e., X* = *RB.*

We introduce some inclusions [which](#page-4-0) are similar to given in [11]. Then we shall study above properties in accordance with previous investigations on related sectional properties such as [8, 11, 13].

Theorem 2.2. *Let* X *be an* FK *space containing* ϕ *. Then*

$$
\phi \subset RS \subset RW \subset RF \subset RB \subset X
$$

and

$$
\phi\subset RS\subset RW\subset\bar\phi.
$$

Proof.

First conclusion is obvious by Definition 2.1. We show that the inclusion $RW \subset \overline{\phi}$. Let $f \in X'$ and $f = 0$ on ϕ . The definition of *RW* shows that $f = 0$ on *RW*. Thus, the Hanh-Banach theorem gives the result.

Theorem 2.3. *The subspaces* $E = RS$, RW , RF , RB , RF ⁺ and RB ⁺ of *X*, FK *space are monotone, i. e., if* $X ⊂ Y$ *then* $E(X) ⊂ E(Y)$ *.*

Proof.

Let $E = RS$, $X \subset Y$ and $x \in RS(X)$. Then by

$$
\lim_{n} \frac{1}{Q_n} \sum_{k=1}^{n} \sum_{j=1}^{k} q_j x_j e^j = x \in X
$$

and $X \subset Y$, we give that

$$
\lim_{n} \frac{1}{Q_n} \sum_{k=1}^{n} \sum_{j=1}^{k} q_j x_j e^j = x \in Y.
$$

Hence $RS(X) \subset RS(Y)$ conclusion is ensure. The others can be proved in a similar way.

Theorem 2.4. Let *X* be an *FK* space containing ϕ . Then $RB^+ = (X^f)^{rb}$.

Proof.

By Definition 2.1, $z \in RB^+$ if and only if $zu \in rb$ for each $u \in X^f$. Hence $RB^+ \subset (X^f)^{rb}$ holds. The converse inclusion is trivial. This is precisely the claim.

Theorem 2.5. Let *X* be an *FK* space containing ϕ . Then RB^+ is the same for all *FK* spaces *Y between* $\bar{\phi}$ *and X*; *i. e.* $\bar{\phi} \subset Y \subset X$ *implies* $RB^+(Y) = RB^+(X)$ *. Here the closure of* ϕ *is calculated in [X](#page-4-0).*

Proof.

By Theorem 2.3, we have $RB^{+}(\overline{\phi}) \subset RB^{+}(Y) \subset RB^{+}(X)$. By Theorem 2.4, the first and last are equal.

Theorem 2.6. Let *X* be an *FK* space such that $RB \supset \overline{\phi}$. Then $\overline{\phi}$ has rK and $RS = RW = \overline{\phi}$.

Proof.

By Theorem 2.2, $\phi \subset RS \subset RW \subset \bar{\phi} \subset RB$. Firstly, suppose that X has *RB*. Define $f_n: X \to X$ by $x \to f_n(x) = x - \frac{1}{Q_n} \sum_{k=1}^n q_k x^{(k)}$. Then $\{f_n\}$ is pointwise bounded, hence equicontinuous by [11]. Since $f_n \to 0$ on ϕ then also $f_n \to 0$ on $\bar{\phi}$ by [11]. As a result, the proof is complete.

Theorem 2[.7.](#page-5-0) *Let X be an FK space containing* ϕ *. Then* $RF^+ = (X^f)^r$ *.*

Proof.

This can be proved as in Theorem 2.4, with *r[s](#page-8-6)* instead of *rb.*

Theorem 2.8. Let *X* be an FK space containing ϕ . Then RF^+ is the same for all FK spaces *Y between* $\bar{\phi}$ *and X*; *i. e.* $\bar{\phi} \subset Y \subset X$ *implies* $RF^+(Y) = RF^+(X)$ *. Here the closure of* ϕ *is calculated in X.*

Proof.

The proof is similar to that of Theorem 2.5.

Theorem 2.9. Let *X* be an *FK* space in which $\bar{\phi}$ has rK. Then $RF^+ = (\bar{\phi})^{rr}$.

Proof.

It is obvious that $RF^+ = (X^f)^r$ by The[orem](#page-5-1) 2.7. Since $X^f = (\bar{\phi})^f$ by [11], we have $(X^f)^r = (\bar{\phi})^{fr}$. Thus by Theorem 1.1 the result follows.

Theorem 2.10. *Let X be an* FK *space containing* ϕ *. Then X has* FrK *if and only if* $\overline{\phi}$ *has* rK $and X \subset (\bar{\phi})^{rr}.$

Proof.

Necessity. *X* has rB since $RF \subset RB$, so $\bar{\phi}$ has rK . If $\bar{\phi}$ has rK then $X \subset RF^+ = (\bar{\phi})^{rr}$. Hence $X \subset (\bar{\phi})^{rr}$. Sufficiency is given by Theorem 2.9.

Theorem 2.11. *Let* X *be an* FK *space containing* ϕ *. The following statements are equivalent:* (1) *X* has FrK (or RF),

 (2) *X* ⊂ $(RS)^{rr}$, *(3) X ⊂* (*RW*) *rr ,* (4) $X = (RF)^{rr}$, (5) $X^r = (RS)^r$, (6) $X^r = (RF)^r$.

Proof.

Since $RS \subset RW \subset RF \subset X$, it is trivial that $(2) \Rightarrow (3)$ and $(3) \Rightarrow (4)$. If (4) is true, then

$$
X^f \subset (RF)^r = (X^{fr})^r \subset X^r
$$

so (1) is true by Theorem 1.1. If (1) holds, then Theorem 2.10 implies that $\bar{\phi} = RS$ which means (2) holds. The equivalence of (5) , (6) with others is clear.

Theorem 2.12. *Let* X *be an* FK *space containing* ϕ *. The following are equivalent:*

(1) X has SrK , (2) X has rK , (3) $X^r = X'$.

Proof.

By Theorem 2.2, it is clear (2) implies (1). Conversely if *X* has *SrK* it must have *AD* from $RW \subset \overline{\phi}$ by Theorem 2.2. It also has rB since $RW \subset RB$. Thus X has rK by Theorem 2.6, this proves that (1) and (2) are equivalent. Assume that (3) holds. Let $f \in X'$, then there exists $u \in X^r$ such that

$$
f(x) = \lim_{n} \frac{1}{Q_n} \sum_{k=1}^{n} \sum_{j=1}^{k} u_j x_j q_j
$$

for $x \in X$. Since $u_j = f(e^j)$, it follows that each $x \in RW$ which shows that (3) implies (1). Let X has rK , then by Theorem 2.2 it has SrK . So, by Definition 2.1, for all $f \in X'$ there is

$$
f(x) = \lim_{n} \frac{1}{Q_n} \sum_{k=1}^{n} \sum_{j=1}^{k} u_j x_j q_j
$$

s[u](#page-5-0)ch [tha](#page-4-0)t $u \in X^r$ which is $u_j = f(e^j)$, $(\forall x \in X)$. This shows that (2) implies (3).

Theorem 2.13. Let X be an FK space containing ϕ . The following are equivalent: *(1) RW is closed in X,*

 (2) $\bar{\phi}$ ⊂ *RB,* (3) $\bar{\phi}$ ⊂ *RF*, $(4) \bar{\phi} = RW$, (5) $\bar{\phi} = RS$ *(6) RS is closed in X.*

Proof.

 $(2) \Rightarrow (5)$: By Theorem 2.6, $\bar{\phi}$ has rK , i.e., $\bar{\phi} \subset RS$. The opposite inclusion is Theorem 2.2. Note that (5) implies (4) , (5) implies (3) and (3) implies (2) because of Theorem 2.2;

$$
RS \subset RW \subset \overline{\phi}, RW \subset RF \subset RB;
$$

(1)⇒ (4) and (6)⇒ (5) s[inc](#page-6-0)e $\phi \subset RS \subset RW \subset \bar{\phi}$. Finally (4)⇒ (1) and (5)⇒ (6).

Theorem 2.14. Let *X* be an FK space containing ϕ . Then *X* has rB [prop](#page-5-0)erty if and only if $X^f \subset X^{rb}$.

Proof.

Necessity Let *X* be *rB* property. Then $X \subset RB^+ = (X^f)^{rb}$ and $X^{rb} \supset (X^f)^{rbrb} \supset X^f$. Sufficiency is clear.

Theorem 2.15. Let *X* be an FK space containing ϕ . Then *X* has rF^+ property if and only if $X^f \subset X^r$.

Proof.

The proof is similar to that of Theorem 2.14.

3 Conclusion

In this study, we determined a new $r-$ [and](#page-7-0) $rb-$ type duality of a sequence space X containing ϕ . Moreover, we developed some new subspaces which are the importance of each one on topological sequence spaces theory. We study the subspaces RS, RW, RF^+ and RB^+ for a locally convex FK space X containing ϕ , the space of finite sequences. Then, we showed that there is relation among these subspaces. Furthermore, we examined monotone of the distinguished subspaces. Finally, we proved some theorems related to the *f−*, *r−* and *rb−* duality of a sequence spaces *X*.

Acknowledgement

The authors would like to thank the reviewers for pointing out some mistakes and misprints in the earlier version of this paper. So, They would like to express their pleasure to the reviewers for their careful reading and making some useful comments which improved the presentation of the paper. M. Temizer Ersoy has been supported by the Scientific and Technological Research Council of Turkey (TUBITAK Programme, 2228-B).

Competing Interests

Authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

References

- [1] Zeller K. Allgemeine eigenschaften von limitierungsverfahren. Math. Z. 1951;(53):463-487.
- [2] Zeller K. Abschnittskonvergenz in FK- Raumen. Math. Z. 1951;(55):55-70.
- [3] Buntinas M. Convergent and bounded Cesaro sections in *FK* space. Math. Z. 1971;(121):191- 200.
- [4] Buntinas M. On toeplitz sections in sequence spaces. Math. Proc. Camb. Phil. Soc. 1975;(78):451-460.
- [5] Boos J, Leiger T. Dual pairs of sequence spaces III. J. Math. Anal. Appl. 2006;324:1213-1227.
- [6] Boos J, Leiger T. Dual pairs of sequence spaces II. Proc. Estonian Acad. Sci. Phys. Math. $2002;51(1):3-17.$
- [7] Boos J, Leiger T. Dual pairs of sequence spaces. Hindawi Publising Corp. 2001;28(1):9-23.
- [8] Boos J. Classical and modern methods in summability. Oxford University Press. New York. Oxford; 2000.
- [9] Garling DJH. On topological sequence spaces. Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc. 1967;(63):997- 1019.
- [10] Altay B, Başar F. Certain topological properties and duals of the matrix domain of a triangle matrix in a sequence space. J. Math. Anal. Appl. 2007;336(1):632-645.
- [11] Wilansky A. Summability trough funtional analysis. North Holland; 1984.
- [12] Wilansky A. Funtionel analysis. Blaisdell Press; 1964.
- [13] Zeller K. Theorie der Limitierungsverfahren. Berlin-Heidelberg New York; 1958.
- [14] Goes G, Goes S. Sequence of bounded variations and sequences of Fourier coefficients I. Math. Z. 1970;(118):93-102.
- [15] Candan M, Kılınç G. A Different look for paranormed riesz sequence space derived by fibonacci matrix. Konuralp J. Math. 2015;(3):62-76.
- [16] Mursaleen M. Applied summability methods. Springer; 2013.
- [17] Goes G. Summen von FK-rumen funktionale abschnittskonvergenz und umkehrsatz. Tohoku. Math. J. 1974;(26):487-504.

 $\mathcal{L}=\{1,2,3,4\}$, we can consider the constant of the constant $\mathcal{L}=\{1,2,3,4\}$ *⃝*c *2017 Ersoy et al.; This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.*

Peer-review history:

The peer review history for this [paper can be accessed here \(Please copy paste](http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0) the total link in your browser address bar) http://sciencedomain.org/review-history/20992