

International Journal of Plant & Soil Science

Volume 35, Issue 8, Page 104-108, 2023; Article no.IJPSS.87959 ISSN: 2320-7035

# Evaluation of Morpho-physiological Variations in Pigeon Pea for Weed Suppression and Yield Potentials in Southern Agro-ecologies of Nigeria

O. A. Aluko <sup>a\*</sup>, A. K. Adebayo <sup>a</sup>, A. D. Adewumi <sup>a</sup>, M. S. Oladipo <sup>a</sup> and P. C. Ukachukwu <sup>a</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Institute of Agricultural Research and Training, Obafemi Awolowo University, Moor Plantation, Ibadan, Nigeria.

#### Authors' contribution

This work was carried out in collaboration among all authors. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

#### Article Information

DOI: 10.9734/IJPSS/2023/v35i82886

#### **Open Peer Review History:**

This journal follows the Advanced Open Peer Review policy. Identity of the Reviewers, Editor(s) and additional Reviewers, peer review comments, different versions of the manuscript, comments of the editors, etc are available here: https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/87959

**Original Research Article** 

Received: 25/05/2022 Accepted: 27/07/2022 Published: 04/04/2023

## ABSTRACT

A study was conducted at Ibadan (Derived savanna), Ife (Rainforest), and Kishi (southern Guinea savanna-northern fringe) to ascertain the agronomic potentials and variation in early interference traits for weed suppression in pigeon pea in southern agro-ecologies of Nigeria. The experimental site was marked out into 4 x 4 m plots and twenty (20) genotypes were randomly assigned with three replicates. Results showed that across genotypes in all locations, NSWCC-29<sup>B-1</sup> had the tallest plants. However, NSWCC-46 had the shortest plants. Genotypes sown at Ife were the tallest across locations. This might have resulted from superior growth factors in Ife. Tall crop plants compete and suppress weeds more than short crop plants. NSWCC-19 had the largest leaf area across genotypes and locations at 20 WAP. Genotypes sown at Ife had the broadest leaves across the locations. Large leaf area enhances canopy formation, weed suppression, and light interception

<sup>\*</sup>Corresponding author: E-mail: bunmialuko2010@gmail.com;

for improved grain yield. NSWCC-7<sup>D</sup> had the broadest canopy width; though, similar to NSWCC-24, NSWCC-29<sup>B-1</sup>, NSWCC-27<sup>A,</sup> and AO/TB 79-9. Broad canopy width is loftier for weed suppression and crop yield. NSWCC-24 had the highest grain yield across genotypes in all locations. Plants sown in Ibadan and Ife had better and similar grain yields than Kishi, which was about 50% lower than other locations. NSWCC-7<sup>D</sup>, NSWCC-29<sup>A</sup>, NSWCC-35, NSWCC-46<sup>B</sup>, NSWCC-35<sup>A</sup>, NSWCC-34, NSWCC-29<sup>B-1</sup>, NSWCC-27<sup>A</sup>, NSWCC-24, CITA 3, AO/TB 79-9 and NSWCC/8B showed promising potentials for weed suppression and grain yield in all the agro-ecologies.

Keywords: Weed suppression; pigeon pea; canopy coverage; pigeon pea; genetic variation; agroecologies.

# **1. INTRODUCTION**

Pigeon pea (Cajanus cajan) is a leguminous crop that is often grown as hedges at dwelling places or as a cover crop in rural communities [1]. Conversely, its potential as a food crop is not adequately exploited in most agro-economic settings. The seed is high in protein and can be prepared in various meals serving as a substitute for cowpea, but the crop has received little research attention in Nigeria in terms of weed management and profitability. Pigeon pea cultivation is adversely affected by weed infestation in the early growth phase before crop canopy formation [2]. It is a challenging task for resource-poor farmers to manage the weed menace at this stage. Profitable and sustainable production of pigeon pea-like most other crops is enhanced by good cultural practices with a minimum cost of weed management. Minimal infusion of herbicides for weed control will reduce the cost of production, and minimize the risk to the environment. humans. and livestock. Cultivation of genotypes with an early inherent ability to suppress weed incursion through interference will also enhance better utilization of soil nutrients for optimum grain yield, supplement weed control intervention(s), guarantee seasonlong weed suppression, minimize the cost of production and reduce future weed incursion [3]. The study is aimed at selecting genotypes with innate potentials for early weed suppression, high grain yield, and adaptability to mixed cropping systems to further minimize the cost of weeding and land productivity in agro-ecologies.

#### 2. METHODOLOGY

The trial was established in Ibadan (Derived savanna agroecology), Ife (rainforest agroecology), and Kishi (southern Guinea savannanorthern fringe). Experimental sites were plowed and harrowed before sowing in June 2020 at all locations. The experimental site was marked out into  $4 \times 4$  m plots and genotypes were sown with

a plant spacing of 1 x 1m (2 plants/stand). The treatments were replicated three times and arranged in Randomized Complete Block Design (RCBD). Pre-emergence herbicide (Metolachlor 960g E.C. at 1.44kg ai/ha) was applied at planting. Cultural practices were carried out throughout the study. Genotypes were studied for agronomic potentials and weed suppressive morphological traits. Data were collected on plant heiaht at monthly intervals, number of branches/plant, leaf area, canopy width, number of pods/plant, 100-seed weight, and grain yield/hectare. Data were analyzed using SAS (General Linear Model) and the mean was separated using Duncan's Multiple Range Test (DMRT).

# 3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Across genotypes in all locations, NSWCC/8B had the tallest plants (Fig. 1). However, NSWCC-46 had the shortest plants. Notwithstanding, genotypes at Ife were the tallest across locations (Table 2). This might have resulted from agroecological differences in the locations. Genotypes with tall plants have been reported to have better competition and superior weed suppression than short crop plants, due to their ability to intercept light and shade weeds better than short crop plants [4,5].

NSWCC-19 had the largest leaf area across genotypes and locations at 20 WAP. Genotypes sown at lfe had the largest leaf area across the locations. Large leaf area enhances canopy formation, weed suppression through smothering, and light interception for improved grain yield [4,6].

NSWCC-7<sup>D</sup> was distinct with the broadest canopy width across genotypes and locations. NSWCC-24, NSWCC-29<sup>B-1</sup>, NSWCC-27<sup>A,</sup> and AO/TB 79-9 were equally comparable in canopy width with the highest recorded in NSWCC-7<sup>D</sup> (Table 1). Broad plant canopy width is Aluko et al.; Int. J. Plant Soil Sci., vol. 35, no. 8, pp. 104-108, 2023; Article no.IJPSS.87959



Fig. 1. Variation in height of genotypes across locations at the specified time

instrumental to early canopy formation and better weed suppression. This in turn will reduce weeding regimes and the cost of weed management. Genotypes with early canopy coverage in a sole cropping system might crop profitability as increase the weed competition is minimized and the cost of weeding is reduced. Genotypes grown in Ife had the highest canopy width across locations (Fig. 2). Broad canopy width is a good weed suppressive factor, and as for improved crop yield [5]. genotypes with broad However. canopy

coverage may inhibit the agronomic potentials of companion crop(s) through shading in a mixedcropping system. Hence, genotypes with early and broad canopy coverage might be suitable for sole cropping where mechanization is envisioned. NSWCC-24 had the highest number of branches across genotypes and locations (Table 1). Genotypes grown in Ife had the highest number of branches/plants. This might have been affected by agro-ecological differences and the availability of superior growth factors in Ife (Fig. 2).





Genotypes	Number of	Leaf Area	Canopy	Number	100-Seed	Grain
	Branches	20	width	pods/plant	weight (g)	yield
	10WAP	WAP(cm <sup>-</sup> )	(cm)		- 1	(ton/ha)
NSWCC-34 <sup>D</sup>	25 <sup>ab</sup>	47.32 <sup>a-d</sup>	137.82 <sup>a-c</sup>	280 <sup>°C</sup>	7.22 <sup>ab</sup>	2.15 <sup>∞</sup>
NSWCC-32	24 <sup>a-c</sup>	49.29 <sup>a-c</sup>	140.77 <sup>a-c</sup>	257 <sup>bc</sup>	8.00 <sup>ab</sup>	1.76 <sup>°</sup>
NSWCC-51	25 <sup>ab</sup>	42.69 <sup>cd</sup>	136.83 <sup>a-c</sup>	258 <sup>bc</sup>	7.11 <sup>ab</sup>	1.45 <sup>°</sup>
NSWCC-7 <sup>D</sup>	22 <sup>bc</sup>	44.94 <sup>b-d</sup>	148.67 <sup>a</sup>	290 <sup>bc</sup>	7.78 <sup>ab</sup>	2.28 <sup>a-c</sup>
NSWCC-29 <sup>A</sup>	22 <sup>bc</sup>	48.05 <sup>ab</sup>	147.58 <sup>ab</sup>	290 <sup>bc</sup>	7.00 <sup>ab</sup>	2.23 <sup>a-c</sup>
NSWCC-35	23 <sup>bc</sup>	43.05 <sup>cd</sup>	133.26 <sup>a-c</sup>	311 <sup>a-c</sup>	6.75 <sup>b</sup>	2.31 <sup>a-c</sup>
NSWCC-18 <sup>A</sup>	25 <sup>ab</sup>	46.26 <sup>b-d</sup>	135.76 <sup>a-c</sup>	284 <sup>bc</sup>	6.75 <sup>b</sup>	2.12 <sup>bc</sup>
NSWCC-19	25 <sup>ab</sup>	57.45 <sup>a</sup>	137.26 <sup>a-c</sup>	291 <sup>bc</sup>	7.89 <sup>ab</sup>	1.63 <sup>°</sup>
NSWCC-50	22 <sup>bc</sup>	46.46 <sup>a-c</sup>	123.95 <sup>°</sup>	280 <sup>bc</sup>	6.88 <sup>ab</sup>	1.54 <sup>°</sup>
NSWCC-46 <sup>B</sup>	24 <sup>a-c</sup>	55.39 <sup>ab</sup>	135.06 <sup>a-c</sup>	307 <sup>a-c</sup>	7.89 <sup>ab</sup>	2.57 <sup>a-c</sup>
NSWCC-35 <sup>A</sup>	22 <sup>bc</sup>	49.49 <sup>a-c</sup>	136.59 <sup>a-c</sup>	274 <sup>bc</sup>	8.33 <sup>a</sup>	2.40 <sup>a-c</sup>
NSWCC-34	25 <sup>ab</sup>	47.68 <sup>a-d</sup>	142.81 <sup>a-c</sup>	295 <sup>bc</sup>	7.89 <sup>ab</sup>	2.49 <sup>a-c</sup>
NSWCC-29 <sup>B-1</sup>	25 <sup>ab</sup>	51.26 <sup>ac</sup>	147.37 <sup>ab</sup>	274 <sup>bc</sup>	7.89 <sup>ab</sup>	2.45 <sup>a-c</sup>
NSWCC-27 <sup>A</sup>	26 <sup>ab</sup>	47.07 <sup>a-d</sup>	146.83 <sup>ab</sup>	318 <sup>ab</sup>	8.00 <sup>ab</sup>	3.27 <sup>ab</sup>
NSWCC-24	28 <sup>a</sup>	47.65 <sup>a-d</sup>	146.61 <sup>ab</sup>	428 <sup>a</sup>	7.89 <sup>ab</sup>	3.52 <sup>a</sup>
CITA 3	26 <sup>ab</sup>	50.87 <sup>a-c</sup>	143.20 <sup>a-c</sup>	346 <sup>ab</sup>	7.11 <sup>ab</sup>	2.49 <sup>a-c</sup>
NSWCC-46	20 <sup>c</sup>	37.59 <sup>°</sup>	133.42 <sup>a-c</sup>	227 <sup>bc</sup>	4.17 <sup>c</sup>	1.60 <sup>c</sup>
AO/TB 79-9	25 <sup>ab</sup>	46.17 <sup>b-d</sup>	143.96 <sup>ab</sup>	259 <sup>bc</sup>	7.13 <sup>ab</sup>	2.24 <sup>a-c</sup>
NSWCC/8B	26 <sup>ab</sup>	49.76 <sup>a-c</sup>	140.61 <sup>a-c</sup>	234 <sup>bc</sup>	6.67 <sup>b</sup>	2.22 <sup>a-c</sup>
NSWCC-28	25 <sup>ab</sup>	51.21 <sup>a-c</sup>	128.33 <sup>bc</sup>	180 <sup>c</sup>	7.22 <sup>ab</sup>	1.86 <sup>°</sup>

Table 1. Agronomic potentials of genotypes across locations

Table 2. Effects of locations on agronomic potentials

Location	Plant height 4WAP (cm)	Plant height 12WAP (cm)	Number of pods/plant	100-Seed weight (g)	Grain yield (ton/ha)
Ibadan	15.99 <sup>c</sup>	82.80 <sup>c</sup>	173.43 <sup>b</sup>	9.05 <sup>a</sup>	2.63 <sup>a</sup>
lfe	29.04 <sup>a</sup>	146.69 <sup>a</sup>	538.15 <sup>ª</sup>	9.30 <sup>a</sup>	2.62 <sup>a</sup>
Kishi	20.28 <sup>b</sup>	129.46 <sup>b</sup>	170.25 <sup>°</sup>	4.00 <sup>b</sup>	1.46 <sup>b</sup>

NSWCC-24 produced the highest number of pods/plants across genotypes and locations. A number of pods/plants were distinctly highest in Ife compared to other locations (Ibadan and Kishi). This might have been influenced by the availability of superior growth factors for better genetic expression in pods/plants accruing from broader canopy width in Ife. Agro-ecological variations might be implicated as better genetic expressions are linked to superior plant growth [7].

Across genotypes and locations, NSWCC-35<sup>A</sup> had the heaviest 100-seed weight (Table 1). Although, there was a similarity with some other genotypes. This might have resulted from better utilization of nutrients for seed formation and variation in maturity. Genotypes grown in Ife and Ibadan had similar, and heavier 100-seed weights than Kishi (Table 2). These were about two times weightier than 100-seed counted in Kishi. A longer dry spell peculiar to Kishi (southern Guinea savanna northern fringe) might have impacted proper seed filling. This might influence the expression of genetic potentials of cajanus in this agroecology. This invariably influenced seed yield also. NSWCC-24 had the highest grain yield across genotypes in all locations (Table 1). Plants sown in Ibadan and Ife had better and similar grain yield (Table 2) than grain yield in Kishi, which was about 50% lower than other locations.

#### 4. CONCLUSION

NSWCC-7<sup>D</sup>, NSWCC-29<sup>A</sup>, NSWCC-35, NSWCC-46<sup>B</sup>, NSWCC-35<sup>A</sup>, NSWCC-34, NSWCC-29<sup>B-1</sup>, NSWCC-27<sup>A</sup>, NSWCC-24, CITA 3, AO/TB 79-9 and NSWCC/8B showed promising potentials for weed suppression and grain yield in all the agroecologies. Identified genotypes with weed suppressive potentials will reduce the cost of weed management and optimize grain yield. Genotypes grown in Ife had the best expression of genetic potentials for grain yield and weed suppression. NSWCC-46, NSWCC-50, and NSWCC-28 with moderate branches, canopy width, and optimum grain yield are promising genotypes for mixed cropping systems across locations.

## REFERENCES

- 1. Akande SR. Multivariate analysis of the genetic diversity of pigeon pea germplasm from Southwest Nigeria. J Food Agric Environ. 2007;5(1):224-7.
- Ramanjit K, Rishi R, Das TK, Kapila S, Raj S, Choudhary KA. Weed management in pigeon pea-based cropping systems. Indian J Weed Sci. 2015;47(3):267-76.
- Jordan N. Prospects for weed control through crop interference. Ecol Appl. 1993; 3(1):84-91. DOI: 10.2307/1941794, PMID 27759217.

- Aluko OA, Chikoye D, Smith MAK. Screening of soybean genotypes for speargrass (*Imperata cylindrica* (L.) Raeuschel) suppression. Agricultural society of Nigeria (ASN). Proceedings of the 44<sup>th</sup> annual conference. 2010;1042-5.
- 5. Aluko AO, Anjorin BF. Kenaf morphophysiological variations and responses to weed pressure in derived savanna agro-ecology of Nigeria. JAERI. 2019;20(3):1-9.

DOI: 10.9734/jaeri/2019/v20i330112.

- Das TK. Weed Science: Basics and applications. Jain Brothers. 2011; 910.
- Saxena KB. Genetic improvement of pigeon pea - A review. Trop Plant Biol. 2008;1(2):159-78. DOI: 10.1007/s12042-008-9014-1.

© 2023 Aluko et al.; This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Peer-review history: The peer review history for this paper can be accessed here: https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/87959