



Action of a Polynomial Matrix on a Vector of Power Series

Ramamonjy Andriamifidisoa^{1*}

¹*Department of Mathematics and Computer Science, Faculty of Sciences, University of Antananarivo, BOP 906, 101 Antananarivo, Madagascar, Higher Polytechnics Institute of Madagascar (ISPM), Ambatomaro, Antsobolo, 101 Antananarivo, Madagascar.*

Author's contribution

The sole author designed, analyzed and interpreted and prepared the manuscript.

Article Information

DOI: 10.9734/BJMCS/2016/25791

Editor(s):

(1) Dariusz Jacek Jakóbczak, Chair of Computer Science and Management in this department, Technical University of Koszalin, Poland.

Reviewers:

(1) Octav Olteanu, University Politehnica of Bucharest, Romania.

(2) Mohammad Tabanjeh, Virginia State University, USA.

Complete Peer review History: <http://sciencedomain.org/review-history/14530>

Received: 20th March 2016

Accepted: 14th April 2016

Published: 9th May 2016

Original Research Article

Abstract

The adjoint of the right multiplication of a row vector by a fixed polynomial matrix gives a left operation of the polynomial matrix on column vectors of power series. This explains the polynomial matrix and vector of powers series “multiplication”, used to define discrete linear dynamical systems, according to Willems and Oberst theory.

Keywords: Discrete linear dynamical system; behavior; polynomial operator in the shift; categories and functors; adjoint of a linear mapping; polynomial matrix.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification: 13C60, 15A04, 39A10, 47A05.

1 Introduction

In [1], we have explained the polynomial operator in the shifts as the adjoint of the linear mapping defined on the vector space of polynomials, which is the *multiplication* by a fixed polynomial. In

*Corresponding author: E-mail: ramamonjy.andriamifidisoa@univ-antananarivo.mg;

this article, we are going to generalize this result by showing that the adjoint of the linear mapping defined on rows vectors of polynomials, defined by the right multiplication by a fixed polynomial matrix, defines an operation on column vectors of powers series. This gives deeper explanation and interpretation of this matrix operation than we gave in [1]. Recall that this matrix operation, though fundamental in defining discrete linear dynamical systems, remains mathematically unexplained or uninterpreted by the other authors, see [2, 3, 4, 5, 7, 6, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14].

2 Basic Data

2.1 Notations

We recall the notations we used in [1]. For a commutative field \mathbb{F} and an integer $r \geq 1$, let $\mathbb{F}^{\mathbb{N}^r}$ be the vector space of the sequences of elements of \mathbb{F} indexed by \mathbb{N}^r :

$$\mathbb{F}^{\mathbb{N}^r} = \{W : \mathbb{N}^r \longrightarrow \mathbb{F}, W \mapsto W(\alpha) = W_\alpha\}$$

and $\mathbb{F}^{(\mathbb{N}^r)}$ the \mathbb{F} -subspace of $\mathbb{F}^{\mathbb{N}^r}$ consisting of those of finite support :

$$\mathbb{F}^{(\mathbb{N}^r)} = \{W \in \mathbb{F}^{\mathbb{N}^r} \mid \text{Supp}(W) \text{ is finite}\},$$

where $\text{Supp}(W) = \{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^r \mid W_\alpha \neq 0\}$. Let be X_1, \dots, X_r (resp. Y_1, \dots, Y_r) be *variables*. The letter X (resp. Y) will denote X_1, \dots, X_r (resp. Y_1, \dots, Y_r) and for $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^r$ we define X^α (resp. Y^α) by

$$X^\alpha = X_1^{\alpha_1} \dots X_r^{\alpha_r} \quad (\text{resp. } Y^\alpha = Y_1^{\alpha_1} \dots Y_r^{\alpha_r}).$$

For $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^r$, let δ_α be the mapping

$$\begin{aligned} \delta_\alpha : \mathbb{N}^r &\longrightarrow \mathbb{F} \\ \beta &\longmapsto \delta_\alpha(\beta) = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } \alpha \neq \beta, \\ 1, & \text{if } \alpha = \beta. \end{cases} \end{aligned} \tag{2.1}$$

Then $\delta_\alpha \in \mathbb{F}^{(\mathbb{N}^r)}$ with $\text{Supp}(\delta_\alpha) = \{\alpha\}$.

Let $\mathbf{D} = \mathbb{F}[X_1, \dots, X_r] = \mathbb{F}[X]$ be the \mathbb{F} -vector space of the polynomials with the r variables X_1, \dots, X_r and $\mathbf{A} = \mathbb{F}[[Y_1, \dots, Y_r]] = \mathbb{F}[[Y]]$ that of the formal power series with the r variables Y_1, \dots, Y_r . The family $(X^\alpha)_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^r}$ is an \mathbb{F} -base of \mathbf{D} , thus an element of \mathbf{D} can be written uniquely as

$$d(X) = \sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^r} d_\alpha X^\alpha \quad \text{with } d_\alpha \in \mathbb{F} \quad \text{for all } \alpha \in \mathbb{N}^r,$$

where $d_\alpha = 0$ except for a finite number of α 's. An element $W(Y)$ of \mathbf{A} can be uniquely expressed as

$$W(Y) = \sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^r} W_\alpha Y^\alpha \quad \text{with } W_\alpha \in \mathbb{F} \quad \text{for all } \alpha \in \mathbb{N}^r.$$

Therefore, we get the \mathbb{F} -vector spaces isomorphisms

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{D} = \mathbb{F}[X_1, \dots, X_r] &\cong \mathbb{F}^{(\mathbb{N}^r)} \\ X^\alpha &\longleftrightarrow \delta_\alpha \quad (\text{and then extending this by linearity}) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{A} &= \mathbb{F}[[Y_1, \dots, Y_r]] \cong \mathbb{F}^{\mathbb{N}^r} \\ W(Y) &= \sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^r} W_\alpha Y^\alpha \longleftrightarrow W = (W_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^r}. \end{aligned}$$

By these isomorphisms, we may identify X^α (resp. Y^α) with the element δ_α of $\mathbb{F}^{(\mathbb{N}^r)}$ (resp. of $\mathbb{F}^{\mathbb{N}^r}$). If $W \in \mathbb{F}^{\mathbb{N}^r}$, we may write $W = (W_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^r}$, where $W_\alpha = W(\alpha)$ for all $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^r$. Finally, we may write the following identifications

$$W = (W_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^r} = \sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^r} W_\alpha Y^\alpha = W(Y). \quad (2.2)$$

The set $\mathbb{F}^{\mathbb{N}^r}$ (resp. $\mathbb{F}^{(\mathbb{N}^r)}$) is also denoted by \mathbf{A} (resp. \mathbf{D}). Let $h \geq 1$ be an integer. The cartesian product $\mathbf{A} \times \dots \times \mathbf{A}$ (resp. $\mathbf{D} \times \dots \times \mathbf{D}$) (h times) is denoted by \mathbf{A}^h (resp. \mathbf{D}^h). We see \mathbf{A}^h as a set of column vectors and \mathbf{D}^h as a set of rows vectors. The set

$$B_h = \{X^\rho e_j^{(h)} = X_1^{\rho_1} \dots X_r^{\rho_r} e_j^{(h)} \mid \rho = (\rho_1, \dots, \rho_r) \in \mathbb{N}^r \text{ and } e_j^{(h)} = \underbrace{(0, \dots, 1, \dots, 0)}_{1 \text{ at the } j\text{-th position}} \in \mathbf{D}^h \text{ for } j = 1, \dots, h\} \quad (2.3)$$

is an \mathbb{F} -basis of \mathbf{D}^h . Indeed, an element of \mathbf{D}^h is of the form

$$d(X) = (d_1(X), \dots, d_j(X), \dots, d_h(X)) \quad (2.4)$$

where

$$d_j(X) = \sum_{\rho \in \mathbb{N}^r} d_{j\rho} X^\rho \in \mathbf{D} \text{ for } j = 1, \dots, h, \text{ the sum being finite.} \quad (2.5)$$

Writing $d(X)$ in the following form,

$$\begin{aligned} d(X) &= (d_1(X), 0, \dots, 0) + \dots + \underbrace{(0, \dots, d_j(X), \dots, 0)}_{d_j(X) \text{ at the } j\text{-th position}} + \dots + (0, \dots, d_h(X)) \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^h d_j(X) e_j^{(h)} \end{aligned}$$

and using the expression of $d_j(X)$ in (2.5), we have

$$\begin{aligned} d(X) &= \sum_{j=1}^h \left(\sum_{\rho \in \mathbb{N}^r} d_{j\rho} X^\rho \right) e_j^{(h)} \\ &= \sum_{1 \leq j \leq h, \rho \in \mathbb{N}^r} d_{j\rho} X^\rho e_j^{(h)}, \end{aligned} \quad (2.6)$$

so that B_h generates \mathbf{D}^h as an \mathbb{F} -vector space. Now, suppose that we have

$$\sum_{1 \leq j \leq h, \rho \in \mathbb{N}^r} d_{j\rho} X^\rho e_j^{(h)} = 0, \quad (2.7)$$

where $d_{j\rho} \in \mathbb{F}$ for $j = 1, \dots, h$ and $\rho \in \mathbb{N}^r$, with $d_{j\rho} = 0$ except for a finite number of ρ 's. This assures that the sum (2.7) is finite. We then construct the polynomials

$$d_j(X) = \sum_{\rho \in \mathbb{N}^r} d_{j\rho} X^\rho \in \mathbf{D},$$

and the polynomial vector

$$d(X) = (d_1(X), \dots, d_j(X), \dots, d_h(X)) \in \mathbf{D}^h.$$

We are in the situation of the equation (2.4). Using (2.6) and (2.7), we get

$$d(X) = (d_1(X), \dots, d_j(X), \dots, d_h(X)) = 0,$$

hence $d_j(X) = 0$ for $j = 1, \dots, h$. This ensures that $d_{j\rho} = 0$ for $j = 1, \dots, h$ and $\rho \in \mathbb{N}^r$. Coming back to (2.7), we conclude that the elements $X^\rho e_j^{(h)}$ of B_h are linearly independent. We have then proven that B_h is an \mathbb{F} -basis of \mathbf{D}^h .

For integers $k, l \geq 1$, the set of matrices with k rows and l columns with coefficients in \mathbf{A} (resp. in \mathbf{D}) is denoted $\mathbf{A}^{k,l}$ (resp. $\mathbf{D}^{k,l}$). According to our previous notation, \mathbf{A}^k (resp. \mathbf{D}^l) denotes $\mathbf{A}^{k,1}$ (resp. $\mathbf{D}^{1,l}$). An element $R(X) \in \mathbf{D}^{k,l}$ is of the form

$$R(X) = (R_{ij}(X))_{1 \leq i \leq k, 1 \leq j \leq l}$$

where $R_{ij}(X) \in \mathbf{D}$ for $i = 1, \dots, k$ and $j = 1, \dots, l$.

Let $\text{Vect}(\mathbb{F})$ be the category of the vector spaces over \mathbb{F} . For $E, F \in \text{Vect}(\mathbb{F})$, the set of morphisms from E into F is $\mathbf{Hom}_{\mathbb{F}}(E, F)$, the set of linear mappings from E to F . We will use the functor

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{F}}(-, \mathbb{F}) : \text{Vect}(\mathbb{F}) &\longrightarrow \text{Vect}(\mathbb{F}) \\ E &\longmapsto \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{F}}(E, \mathbb{F}) \\ (f : E \longrightarrow F) &\longmapsto \begin{cases} \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{F}}(f, \mathbb{F}) : \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{F}}(F, \mathbb{F}) \longrightarrow \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{F}}(E, \mathbb{F}) \\ u \longmapsto u \circ f. \end{cases} \end{aligned} \tag{2.8}$$

Definition 2.1. Let $E, F \in \text{Vect}(\mathbb{F})$. The (functorial) adjoint or transpose of f if is the linear mapping

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{Hom}_{\mathbb{F}}(f, \mathbb{F}) : \mathbf{Hom}_{\mathbb{F}}(F, \mathbb{F}) &\longrightarrow \mathbf{Hom}_{\mathbb{F}}(E, \mathbb{F}) \\ u &\longmapsto u \circ f. \end{aligned} \tag{2.9}$$

In [1], theorem 3.3, we proved that given a polynomial $d(X) = \sum_{\beta \in \mathbb{N}^r} d_{\beta} X^{\beta} \in \mathbf{D}$, the functorial adjoint of the polynomial multiplication

$$\begin{aligned} d(X) : \mathbf{D} &\longrightarrow \mathbf{D} \\ c(X) &\longmapsto c(X)d(X), \end{aligned}$$

is the polynomial operation in the shifts

$$\begin{aligned} d(X) : \mathbf{A} &\longrightarrow \mathbf{A} \\ W(Y) &\longmapsto dX \circ W(Y) = \sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^r} \left(\sum_{\beta \in \mathbb{N}^r} d_{\beta} W_{\alpha+\beta} \right) Y^{\alpha}. \end{aligned} \tag{2.10}$$

We have called the symbol “ \circ ” the “multiplication” of a vector of power series by a polynomial matrix. Using this notation, given a polynomial matrix $R(X) = (R_{ij}(X))_{1 \leq i \leq k, 1 \leq j \leq l}$ of $\mathbf{D}^{k,l}$, the action of $R(X)$ on a column vector of power series $W(Y) = (W_1(Y), \dots, W_l(Y))^T \in \mathbf{A}^l$ (where T is the transposition) is usually defined as

$$R(X) \circ W(Y) = \begin{pmatrix} R_1(X) \circ W(Y) \\ \vdots \\ R_k(X) \circ W(Y) \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \sum_{j=1}^l R_{1j}(X) \circ W_j(Y) \\ \vdots \\ \sum_{j=1}^l R_{kj}(X) \circ W_j(Y) \end{pmatrix} \in \mathbf{A}^k. \tag{2.11}$$

2.2 The problem and the method

According to our notations, we will prove that, once $R(X) \in \mathbf{D}^{k,l}$ is fixed, the adjoint of the linear mapping

$$\begin{aligned} R(X)^T : \mathbf{D}^k &\longrightarrow \mathbf{D}^l \\ c(X) &\longmapsto c(X) \cdot R(X) \end{aligned} \tag{2.12}$$

is the linear mapping defined by

$$\begin{aligned} R(X) : \mathbf{A}^l &\longrightarrow \mathbf{A}^k \\ W(Y) &\longmapsto R(X) \circ W(Y). \end{aligned} \tag{2.13}$$

This will explain the operation “ \circ ”. Moreover it is a linear mapping of \mathbf{D} -modules. In other terms, $\mathbf{Hom}_{\mathbb{F}}(R(X)^T, \mathbb{F}) = R(X)$ (here $R(X)^T$ and $R(X)$ are viewed as the mappings in (2.12) and (2.13)). We therefore have resolved one of the problems we stated in the conclusion of [1]. It is very interesting that simply taking the adjoint of (2.12) leads to an action of the polynomial $R(X)$ on the elements of \mathbf{A}^l , which are vectors of power series.

For this purpose, we use lemma 3.1 in order to consider an element $W \in \mathbf{A}^l$ as a linear mapping from \mathbf{D}^l to \mathbb{F} . Then, starting from the definition of the adjoint of $R(X)^T$, which is the linear mapping $\mathbf{Hom}_{\mathbb{F}}(R(X)^T, \mathbb{F}) = W \circ R(X)^T$, we directly calculate the images under $W \circ R(X)^T$ of the elements of the \mathbb{F} -basis $\{X^\rho e_j^{(l)} \mid \rho \in \mathbb{N}^r, j = 1, \dots, h\}$ of \mathbf{D}^l . Finally, we simply identify $W \circ R(X)^T$ by these images arranged in a specific matrix form.

3 Solution of the Problem

3.1 Preliminary results

We need the following lemma ([4], p.60) for our main theorem. Our proof is simpler and more direct.

Lemma 3.1. *Let $h \geq 1$ be an integer. Then the linear mapping*

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{Hom}_{\mathbb{F}}(\mathbf{D}^h, \mathbb{F}) &\longrightarrow \mathbf{A}^h \\ f &\longmapsto \begin{pmatrix} (f(X^\rho e_1^{(h)}))_{\rho \in \mathbb{N}^r} \\ \vdots \\ (f(X^\rho e_j^{(h)}))_{\rho \in \mathbb{N}^r} \\ \vdots \\ (f(X^\rho e_h^{(h)}))_{\rho \in \mathbb{N}^r} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \sum_{\rho \in \mathbb{N}^r} f(X^\rho e_1^{(h)}) Y^\rho \\ \vdots \\ \sum_{\rho \in \mathbb{N}^r} f(X^\rho e_j^{(h)}) Y^\rho \\ \vdots \\ \sum_{\rho \in \mathbb{N}^r} f(X^\rho e_h^{(h)}) Y^\rho \end{pmatrix} \end{aligned} \tag{3.1}$$

is an isomorphism of vector spaces. Therefore, we may write

$$\mathbf{Hom}_{\mathbb{F}}(\mathbf{D}^h, \mathbb{F}) = \mathbf{A}^h. \tag{3.2}$$

Proof. An element $f \in \mathbf{Hom}_{\mathbb{F}}(\mathbf{D}^h, \mathbb{F})$ is uniquely defined by the images $(f(X^\rho e_j^{(h)}))_{\rho \in \mathbb{N}^r, j=1, \dots, h}$ of the \mathbb{F} -basis $B_h = \{X^\rho e_j^{(h)} \mid \rho \in \mathbb{N}^r, j = 1, \dots, h\}$ of \mathbf{D}^h , which may be arbitrary elements of \mathbb{F} . We may arrange these images into the form of the first matrix in (3.1). By (2.2), we may write

$$(f(X^\rho e_j^{(h)}))_{\rho \in \mathbb{N}^r} = \sum_{\rho \in \mathbb{N}^r} f(X^\rho e_j^{(h)}) Y^\rho \in \mathbf{A}^h \text{ for } j = 1, \dots, h.$$

Thus the two matrices in (3.1) are equal.

3.2 Polynomial matrix and vector of power series multiplication

Here is the main result :

Theorem 3.2. *Let $R(X) \in \mathbf{D}^{k,l}$. The adjoint of the \mathbb{F} -linear mapping*

$$\begin{aligned} R(X)^T : \mathbf{D}^k &\longrightarrow \mathbf{D}^l \\ c(X) &\longmapsto c(X) \cdot R(X) \end{aligned} \tag{3.3}$$

is the \mathbf{D} -linear mapping

$$\begin{aligned} R(X) : \mathbf{A}^l &\longrightarrow \mathbf{A}^k \\ W(Y) &\longmapsto R(X) \circ W(Y) \end{aligned} \quad (3.4)$$

where $R(X) \circ W(Y)$ is defined by (2.11).

Proof. Applying the functor $\mathbf{Hom}_{\mathbb{F}}(-, \mathbb{F})$ to (3.3), we get

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{Hom}_{\mathbb{F}}(R(X)^T, \mathbb{F}) : \mathbf{Hom}_{\mathbb{F}}(\mathbf{D}^l, \mathbb{F}) &\longrightarrow \mathbf{Hom}_{\mathbb{F}}(\mathbf{D}^k, \mathbb{F}) \\ f &\longmapsto \mathbf{Hom}_{\mathbb{F}}(R(X)^T, \mathbb{F})(f) = f \circ R(X)^T. \end{aligned}$$

By (3.2), and considering $f \in \mathbf{Hom}_{\mathbb{F}}(\mathbf{D}^l, \mathbb{F}) = \mathbf{A}^l$ as an element $W \in \mathbf{A}^l$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{Hom}_{\mathbb{F}}(R(X)^T, \mathbb{F}) : \mathbf{A}^l &\longrightarrow \mathbf{A}^k \\ W &\longmapsto \mathbf{Hom}_{\mathbb{F}}(R(X)^T, \mathbb{F})(W) = W \circ R(X)^T. \end{aligned} \quad (3.5)$$

In the expression $W \circ R(X)^T$ of (3.5), it is useful to consider W again as a linear form from \mathbf{D}^l to \mathbb{F} (according to (3.2)). The symbol \circ is the composition of mappings. Now, we are going to find the image under W of a polynomial vector $d(X) \in \mathbf{D}^l$. Set $d(X) = (d_1(X), \dots, d_j(X), \dots, d_l(X))$. Each $d_j(X)$ is of the form

$$d_j(X) = \sum_{\rho \in \mathbb{N}^r} d_{j\rho} X^\rho,$$

where the sequence $(d_{j\rho})_{\rho \in \mathbb{N}^r}$ of elements of \mathbb{F} is with finite support for $j = 1, \dots, l$. Using the \mathbb{F} -basis $B_l = \{X^\rho e_j^{(l)} \mid \rho \in \mathbb{N}^r, j = 1 \dots l\}$ of \mathbf{D}^l , we obtain, by (2.6)

$$d(X) = \sum_{j=1}^l \sum_{\rho \in \mathbb{N}^r} d_{j\rho} X^\rho e_j^{(l)}.$$

Taking the image of $d(X)$ by W , we get

$$W(d(X)) = W\left(\sum_{j=1}^l \sum_{\rho \in \mathbb{N}^r} d_{j\rho} X^\rho e_j^{(l)}\right) = \sum_{j=1}^l \sum_{\rho \in \mathbb{N}^r} d_{j\rho} W(X^\rho e_j^{(l)}).$$

Now, write $W(X^\rho e_j^{(l)}) = W_{j\rho} \in \mathbb{F}$; we then have

$$W(d(X)) = \sum_{j=1}^l \sum_{\rho \in \mathbb{N}^r} d_{j\rho} W_{j\rho}. \quad (3.6)$$

Now, we are ready to calculate $(W \circ R(X)^T)(X^\rho e_i^{(k)})$, the images of the polynomial vectors $X^\rho e_i^{(k)}$ of the elements of the \mathbb{F} -basis $\{X^\rho e_j^{(h)} \mid \rho \in \mathbb{N}^r, j = 1, \dots, h\}$ of \mathbf{D}^k by the linear form $W \circ R(X)^T$. Let $R(X) = (R_{ij}(X))_{i=1, \dots, k, j=1, \dots, l}$ and $R_{ij}(X) = \sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^r} R_{ij\alpha} X^\alpha$, where the sequence $(R_{ij\alpha})_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^r}$ is with finite support for $i = 1, \dots, k$ and $j = 1, \dots, l$. For simplicity, we will write R^T for the linear mapping $R(X)^T$, therefore $W \circ R^T$ instead of $W \circ R(X)^T$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} R^T(X^\rho e_i^{(k)}) &= X^\rho e_i^{(k)} \cdot R(X) = \underbrace{(0, \dots, X^\rho, \dots, 0)}_{X^\rho \text{ at the } i\text{-th position}} \cdot \begin{pmatrix} R_{11} & \dots & R_{1j} & \dots & R_{1l} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ R_{i1} & \dots & R_{ij} & \dots & R_{il} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ R_{k1} & \dots & R_{kj} & \dots & R_{kl} \end{pmatrix} \\ &= (X^\rho R_{i1}, \dots, X^\rho R_{ij}, \dots, X^\rho R_{il}) \\ &= \left(\sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^r} R_{i1\alpha} X^{\alpha+\rho}, \dots, \sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^r} R_{ij\alpha} X^{\alpha+\rho}, \dots, \sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^r} R_{il\alpha} X^{\alpha+\rho} \right) \end{aligned} \quad (3.7)$$

Taking the image of $R^T(X^\rho e_i^{(k)})$ by W , we have, by (3.6), where $d(X)$ is replaced by the expression of $R^T(X^\rho e_i^{(k)})$ in the last equation of (3.7) ,

$$(W \circ R^T)(X^\rho e_i^{(k)}) = W(R^T(X^\rho e_i^{(k)})) = \sum_{j=1}^l \sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^r} R_{ij\alpha} W_{j(\alpha+\rho)}. \tag{3.8}$$

Thus, the images of the elements of the base B under $W \circ R^T$ are given by the following vector of power series

$$\begin{pmatrix} \sum_{\rho \in \mathbb{N}^r} (\sum_{j=1}^l \sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^r} R_{1j\alpha} W_{j(\alpha+\rho)}) Y^\rho \\ \vdots \\ \sum_{\rho \in \mathbb{N}^r} (\sum_{j=1}^l \sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^r} R_{ij\alpha} W_{j(\alpha+\rho)}) Y^\rho \\ \vdots \\ \sum_{\rho \in \mathbb{N}^r} (\sum_{j=1}^l \sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^r} R_{kj\alpha} W_{j(\alpha+\rho)}) Y^\rho \end{pmatrix}, \tag{3.9}$$

where the coefficients of the power series in the i -th row represent the image of $X^\rho e_i^{(k)}$ for $\rho \in \mathbb{N}^r$ (see lemma 3.1). We can rearrange the i -th row of (3.9) into the following form,

$$\sum_{\rho \in \mathbb{N}^r} (\sum_{j=1}^l \sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^r} R_{ij\alpha} W_{j(\alpha+\rho)}) Y^\rho = \sum_{j=1}^l (\sum_{\rho \in \mathbb{N}^r} (\sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^r} R_{ij\alpha} W_{j(\alpha+\rho)}) Y^\rho),$$

and comparing with (2.10), we have

$$\sum_{j=1}^l (\sum_{\rho \in \mathbb{N}^r} (\sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^r} R_{ij\alpha} W_{j(\alpha+\rho)}) Y^\rho) = \sum_{j=1}^l R_{ij}(X) \circ W_j(Y). \tag{3.10}$$

Using this, the matrix (3.9) finally equals to the following matrix

$$\begin{pmatrix} \sum_{j=1}^l R_{1j}(X) \circ W_j(Y) \\ \vdots \\ \sum_{j=1}^l R_{kj}(X) \circ W_j(Y) \end{pmatrix},$$

which is the same as (2.11) and $W \circ R(X)^T$ may be identified with these matrices. For the proof of the \mathbf{D} -linearity, see [4]. This completes the proof of the theorem.

The matrix $W \circ R(X)^T$ being an element of \mathbf{A}^k , constructed from the matrix $R(X) \in \mathbf{D}^{k,l}$ and the vector $W(Y) \in \mathbf{A}^l$, it can be considered a result of an operation of $R(X)$ on $W(Y)$, which explains the notation “ $R(X) \circ W(Y)$ ”.

4 Conclusions

As we have seen, taking the adjoint of a simple polynomial vector and polynomial matrix multiplication leads to an amazing and unexpected result. It possible to multiply a vector of power series by a polynomial matrix. Apparently, these two objets have nothing in common. This illustrate the utility of the correspondence between set of polynomials and power series.

Competing Interests

Author has declared that no competing interests exist.

References

- [1] Andriamifidisoa R, Andrianjanahary J. Polynomial operator in the shifts in discrete algebraic dynamical systems. *British Journal of Mathematics & Computer Science*. 2015;6(2):119-128. Article no.BJMCS.2015.067.
DOI: 10.9734/BJMCS/2015/15028
- [2] Lane SM, *Categories for the working mathematicians*. Springer; 1971.
- [3] Napp D. An algebraic approach to multidimensional behaviors, proefschrift ter verkrijging van het doctoraat in de Wiskunde en Natuurwetenschappen aan de Rijksuniversiteit Groningen; 2008.
- [4] Oberst U. Multidimensional constant linear systems, *Acta Appl. Math.* 1990;20:1-175.
- [5] Oberst U. The computation of purity filtrations over commutative noetherian rings of operators and their application to behaviors. *Multidimensional Systems and Signal Processing*. 2015;26:389-404.
DOI: 10.1007/s11045-013-0253-4
- [6] Oberst U, Scheicher M. The asymptotic stability of stable and time-autonomous discrete multidimensional behaviors. *Mathematics of Control, Signals, Systems*. 2013;26:215-258.
- [7] Oberst U, Scheicher M, Generalized convolution behaviors and topological algebra. Published online in *Acta Appl Math*, Springer Verlag, February 5; 2015.
DOI: 10.1007/s10440-015-0007-4
- [8] Scheicher M, Oberst U. Multidimensional discrete stability by Serre categories and the construction and parametrization of observers via Gabriel localizations. *SIAM Journal Control Optimization*. 2013;51:1873-1908.
- [9] Schindelar K. Algorithmic aspects of algebraic system theory. Ph.D. Thesis, Aachen University, Germany; 2010.
- [10] Willems JC. Models for dynamics. *Dynamics Reported*. 1989;2:171-296.
- [11] Willems JC. Paradigms and puzzles in the theory of dynamical systems. *IEEE Transactions on Automatic Control*. 1991;36(3):259-294.
- [12] Willems JC. The behavioral approach to modeling and control. *European Embedded Control Institute, Graduate School on Control*; 2010.
- [13] Zerz E. *Topics in multidimensional linear systems theory*. Springer; 2000.
- [14] Zerz E. Behavioral systems theory: A survey. *Int. J. Appl. Math. Comput. Sci.* 2008;18(3):265-270.

© 2016 Andriamifidisoa; This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0>), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Peer-review history:

The peer review history for this paper can be accessed here (Please copy paste the total link in your browser address bar)

<http://sciencedomain.org/review-history/14530>